



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/100,791	10/21/87	KING	C 50227 6-

MR. WILLIAM NEEDLE
NEEDLE AND ROSENBERG
THE CARNEGIE BLDG., SUITE 400
133 CARNEGIE WAY
ATLANTA, GA 30303

EXAMINER	
MARSCHEL, A	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1807	32 1/2 33

DATE MAILED:

12/21/92

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DAVID PERRYMAN (appl. rep.) (3)
(2) ARDIN MARSCHEL (Exr) (4)

Date of interview 12-18-92

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed: references referred to in the Amst filed 12-4-92 as
Paper # 31.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Exr. Marschel calls
Mr. Perryman to state that the numerous references discussed in
the After Final Amst filed 12-4-92 had not been received as copies as
stated in said Amst. Exr. Marschel also reminded Mr. Perryman of the
need to comply with Rule 56 regarding Information Disclosure Statements.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Ardin Marschel
Examiner's Signature